Health departments are welcome to adapt this tool. Requirements for adapting this tool include: Health Evidence™ and Peel Public Health are acknowledged for tool development; and adapted tool cannot be used for profit (not to be sold).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 🗹 | [**EIDM Phases**](http://www.nccmt.ca/eiph/index-eng.html) **& Steps** | | | | **File Path / Link to Saved Location on:**  (insert name of your network here) |
| **🗌** | **1. Define question**   * **Was a clear answerable search question developed?** | | | |  |
|  | What was the question? | | | |  |
|  |  | P |  | |  |
|  |  | I |  | |  |
|  |  | C |  | |  |
|  |  | O |  | |  |
| **🗌** | **2. Search for relevant evidence**   * **Was a comprehensive search strategy employed to find the best available evidence to address this question?** | | | |  |
|  | PICO search terms table (See: [Developing an Efficient Search Strategy Using PICO](https://healthevidence.org/practice-tools.aspx#PT2)) | | | |  |
|  |  | * Years searched: | | |  |
|  | Pyramid results (See: [Levels & Sources of Public Health Evidence](https://healthevidence.org/practice-tools.aspx#PT3)) | | | |  |
|  | Search results (See: [Keeping Track of Search Results: A Flowchart](https://healthevidence.org/practice-tools.aspx#PT5)) | | | |  |
|  | References saved in reference management software database (e.g. Reference Manager/RefWorks/EndNote) | | | |  |
|  | What relevance criteria were used to determine evidence for quality assessment: | | | |  |
|  |  | Primary | | Titles and abstracts as found in reference management database   * Save as separate reference management database. |  |
|  |  | Secondary | | Relevance assessment of full document versions   * Save as separate reference management database. |  |
|  | How many papers remained following relevance assessment(s)? (See: Flowchart, above) | | | |  |
| **🗌** | **3. Appraise**   * **Was quality assessment conducted on relevant evidence?** | | | |  |
| How many papers remained after quality assessment? (See: Flowchart, above) | | | |  |
| **🗌** | **4. Synthesize**   * **What were the results of the review of the evidence?** | | | |  |
| What were the actionable messages from the evidence? | | | |  |
| **🗌** | **5. Adapt.** | | | |  |
| * [NCCMT’s Applicability and Transferability tool;](http://www.nccmt.ca/impact/publications/9) * [AHRQ’s Will It Work Here? A Decisionmaker's Guide to Adopting Innovations](https://innovations.ahrq.gov/guide/guideTOC?utm_source=issueanc&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=20110316) | | | |  |
| **🗌** | **6. Implement.** | | | |  |
| **🗌** | **7. Evaluate.** | | | |  |

**Have you used this tool?**

We would appreciate hearing from those who have used the tool, perspectives on its usefulness, how it was adapted, and any suggestions for revision:

[**info@healthevidence.org**](mailto:info@healthevidence.org).

**How to cite tool:** Health Evidence™ (2011, March 16). *Evidence-Informed Decision Making (EIDM) Checklist*. Retrieved [insert date you downloaded this document e.g., April 13, 2018], https://healthevidence.org/practice-tools.aspx#PT1

**\*** Health Evidence™ is grateful to Peel Public Health, Communicable Disease Division, for co-developing and field-testing the November 2009 version of this document.